1.8	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/798,371	VENTERS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Revak	2131
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after-final response</u>		
(1) <u>Christopher A. Revak</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Charles Gross</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 March 2007	Time: <u>10:30 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
		,
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 58,59		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.	•	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner noted that the applicanted argued the feature of "transmitting the secured streaming container" which the examiner found to be persuasive, however that feature was not claimed in independent claim 58, dependent claim 59 recited of that argued feature. The examiner proposed amending claim 58 to incorporate that feature into the claims and the applicant's representative authorized an examiner's amendment to amend claim 58 and to cancel claim 59.